# ORGANIC CHEMISTRY



Check for updates

**Cite this:** *Org. Chem. Front.*, 2023, **10**, 4092

## Site-selective olefinic C–H cyanation *via* alkenyl sulfonium salts†

**HINESE** 

CHEMICAL

Juan Ma, (b<sup>a,b</sup> Jie Lin, (b<sup>a,b</sup> Zilong Huang, (b<sup>a,b</sup> Ping Wu, (b<sup>a</sup> Yong-Gui Zhou (b\*a) and Zhengkun Yu (b\*a).

A chemo- and regioselective olefinic C–H cyanation strategy was developed through palladium-catalyzed  $C(sp^2)$ –S bond cleavage of stable alkenyl sulfonium salts with CuCN, efficiently affording multisubstituted

alkenyl nitriles (acrylonitriles). This process features broad substrate scope, good chemo- and regio-

selectivities, and excellent functional group tolerance. The present protocol provides an alternative route

to alkenyl nitriles from readily available functionalized alkenes by a site-selective interrupted Pummerer

activation/palladium-catalyzed olefinic C(sp<sup>2</sup>)-S cyanation sequence.

Received 5th June 2023, Accepted 6th July 2023 DOI: 10.1039/d3qo00833a

rsc.li/frontiers-organic

#### Introduction

Alkenes are some of the most useful building blocks in the chemical industry1a and useful partners in cross-coupling transformations,<sup>1b</sup> among which alkenyl nitriles (acrylonitriles) are considered as significant scaffolds,<sup>2</sup> and some of them act as the core structural motifs in biologically active molecules and natural products<sup>3</sup> (Fig. 1). For instance, CC-5079 has been found to be a potent antitumor agent,<sup>3a</sup> rilpivirine can be used as an anti-HIV reverse transcriptase inhibitor,<sup>3b</sup> and rhodiocyanoside A often exists in natural products.<sup>3c</sup> Alkenyl nitriles are characterized by the presence of a reactive olefin moiety and a nitrile group and have been applied as versatile reactants in organic synthesis.<sup>4</sup> Various methods have been established to access polyfunctionalized alkenyl nitriles, including direct approaches from alkenes and acrylonitriles N-cyano-N-phenyl-p-toluenesulfonamide or under ruthenium<sup>5a,b</sup> and molybdenum<sup>5c</sup> or rhodium<sup>5d</sup> catalysis. Non-directed alkenyl C-H cyanation was realized with copper(II)/TMSCN<sup>5e</sup> or iodine(III)/TMSCN.<sup>5f</sup> The copper(I)-catalyzed vinylic Rosenmund-von Braun reaction of terminal alkenyl iodides with acetone cyanohydrin, sodium cyanide or potassium cyanide gave the corresponding acrylonitrile products.<sup>6a</sup> The same type of reaction with acetone cyanohydrin

was also applied to terminal alkenyl bromides under palladium(0) catalysis.<sup>6b</sup> To date, general and straightforward methods to access multisubstituted alkenyl nitriles from readily available functionalized alkenes are still highly desirable.

ROYAL SOCIETY

**View Article Online** 

View Journal | View Issue

CHEMISTRY

Site-selective functionalization of arenes and alkenes is a great challenge in modern organic synthesis due to their multiple reactivities.7 Recently, sulfonium salts have aroused much attention because of their diverse applications in carbon-carbon and carbon-heteroatom bond formation.8 In this regard, any sulfonium salts have been extensively explored as coupling partners, and Ritter thianthrenation has been considered as a breakthrough to achieve highly site-selective aromatic C-H functionalization through interrupted Pummerer activation of aromatic C-H bonds by thianthrene (TT) or tetrafluorothianthrene (TFT) sulfoxide.8,9 However, compared to aryl sulfonium salts alkenyl sulfonium salts have been paid much less attention in this area.<sup>10</sup> Although Liebeskind et al. reported the first palladium and nickel-catalyzed cross-coupling between aryl, heteroaryl, alkenyl and benzyl tetramethylenesulfonium salts with organometallic reagents,<sup>10a</sup> alkenyl sulfonium salts have only recently been applied for the elaboration of styrenes with organozinc compounds under nickel cat-



Fig. 1 Biologically active molecules with an alkenyl nitrile motif.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 457 Zhongshan Road, Dalian 116023, P. R. China. E-mail: zkyu@dicp.ac.cn, ygzhou@dicp.ac.cn <sup>b</sup>University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, P. R. China <sup>c</sup>State Key Laboratory of Organometallic Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 354 Fenglin Road, Shanghai 200032, P. R. China

<sup>†</sup>Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental details, analytical data, and NMR spectra of products. CCDC 2208095, 2164693, 2208092, 2208089, 2164690 and 2164692. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/d3q000833a

alysis,<sup>10b</sup> photocatalytic decarboxylative alkenylation of redoxactive esters,<sup>10c</sup> palladium and ruthenium-catalyzed olefinic C-C and C-halogen bond formation,10d indirect functionalization of ethylene gas,<sup>10e</sup> copper-catalyzed silvlation and borylation,<sup>10f</sup> germylation of styrenes,<sup>10g</sup> synthesis of *N*-vinylazoles, 10h electrochemical route to allylic amines, 10i and base-promoted amination and esterification.<sup>10j</sup> In the relevant case of constructing nitriles, any thianthrenium salts were found to be the potential coupling partners to generate aryl nitriles by means of a combination of Bu<sub>4</sub>NCN and Cu (MeCN)<sub>4</sub>BF<sub>4</sub> or Bu<sub>4</sub>NCN and CuCN under photocatalysis, which was exemplified by three synthetic examples (62-83%) (Scheme 1a).9 Substituted arenes and thiophenes underwent nucleophilic cine- or tele-aromatic C-H cyanation with extremely toxic KCN via their corresponding sulfonium salts, affording the target products in 16-72% yields with a limited scope of six examples (Scheme 1b).<sup>11</sup>

During our ongoing studies on functionalizing alkenes, we recently realized palladium-catalyzed olefinic C–H fluorothioalkylation<sup>12*a*</sup> and transition-metal-free azidothioalkylation<sup>12*b*</sup> via  $C(sp^3)$ –S bond cleavage of alkenyl sulfonium salts (Scheme 1c). Based upon the observation that the cleavage of the olefinic  $C(sp^2)$ –S bonds in alkenyl sulfonium salts sensitively depends on the reactivity of the selected coupling partners and the catalytic systems,<sup>10</sup> we envisioned that olefinic C–H cyanation might be achieved via an interrupted Pummerer activation/palladium-catalyzed olefinic C(sp<sup>2</sup>)–S cyanation sequence. Herein, we disclose an efficient palladium-catalyzed site-selective protocol to access multisubstituted alkenyl nitriles (acrylonitriles) via alkenyl sulfonium salts (Scheme 1d).

The bench-stable alkenyl sulfonium salts were prepared through an interrupted Pummerer reaction by means of alkenes and tetrahydrothiophene *S*-oxide in the presence of triflic anhydride.<sup>10b</sup> Notably, a mixture of (E)/(Z)-alkene





(c) Our previous work: C-H fluoro- and azidothioalkylation via alkenyl sulfonium salts



(d) This work: site-selective olefinic C-H cyanation via alkenyl sulfonium salts



Scheme 1 C-H cyanation strategies using sulfonium salts.

isomers usually gave a single (*E*)-alkenyl sulfonium salt which was confirmed by X-ray crystallographic structural determination of 1-(4-trifluoromethylphenyl)-2-(4-tolyl)vinyl sulfonium salt (**3m**) (see the ESI† for details). It should also be noted that the interrupted Pummerer reaction regioselectively occurred at the olefinic C–H site with less electron density among the two available adjacent internal olefinic C–H bonds, leading to siteselective desulfitative cyanation in our case.

#### **Results and discussion**

Initially, the reaction of 1-(2,2-diphenylvinyl)-tetrahydro-1Hthiophen-1-ium triflate (1a) and CuCN was conducted to optimize the reaction conditions (Table 1). After systematic studies, the optimal reaction conditions were identified (see the ESI<sup>†</sup>). In the presence of 5 mol%  $Pd(PPh_3)_4$  as the catalyst, 6 mol% 1,3-bis(diphenylphosphino)propane (dppp) as the ligand, CuCN as the coupling partner, and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> as the base in EtOAc under a nitrogen atmosphere, the reaction continued at 60 °C for 12 h to quantitatively form the target product 3,3diphenylacrylonitrile (2a) in 98% isolated yield (Table 1, entry 1). The  $Pd(\pi)$  source  $PdCl_2$  was ineffective, and dppp proved to be the most efficient ligand (Table 1, entries 2 and 3). In a poorly polar solvent such as toluene the reaction deteriorated, while the reaction efficiently proceeded in 1,2-dichloroethane (DCE) to reach 99% yield (Table 1, entries 4 and 5). TMSCN could act as a less effective cyanating reagent than CuCN giving 2a in 58% yield (Table 1, entry 6), while  $K_4[Fe(CN)_6]$ 

Table 1 Screening of reaction conditions<sup>a</sup>

| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   |                        |   |   |                      |  |
|---|------------------------|---|---|----------------------|--|
| Entry         Variation         Yield <sup>b</sup> of 2a (%           1         None         99 (98) <sup>c</sup> 2         PdCl <sub>2</sub> instead of Pd(PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> 6           3         Xphos instead of dppp         39           4         Toluene instead of EtOAc         19           5         DCE instead of EtOAc         99           6         TMSCN instead of CuCN         58           7         K <sub>4</sub> [Fe(CN) <sub>6</sub> ]·3H <sub>2</sub> O instead of CuCN         0           8         3 mol% Pd(PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> 53           9         5 mol% dppp         63           10         1.0 equiv. CuCN         92           11         Without Pd(PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> 0           12         Without dpp         22           13         Without Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> 23           14         In air         0           15 <sup>d</sup> None         99 (96) <sup>c</sup> | PI<br>Ph               | ToTf + CuCN   | 5 mol % Pd(PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>4</sub><br>6 mol % dppp<br>Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> (1.5 equiv)<br>EtOAc, 60 °C, 12 h | Ph<br>Ph<br>CN<br>2a | Ph<br>Ph<br>S<br>2a', N.D.                       |
| 1       None       99 (98) <sup>c</sup> 2       PdCl <sub>2</sub> instead of Pd(PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> 6         3       Xphos instead of dppp       39         4       Toluene instead of EtOAc       19         5       DCE instead of EtOAc       99         6       TMSCN instead of CuCN       58         7       K <sub>4</sub> [Fe(CN) <sub>6</sub> ]·3H <sub>2</sub> O instead of CuCN       0         8       3 mol% Pd(PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> 53         9       5 mol% dppp       63         10       1.0 equiv. CuCN       92         11       Without Pd(PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> 0         12       Without dpp       22         13       Without Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> 23         14       In air       0         15 <sup>d</sup> None       99 (96) <sup>c</sup>   | Entry                  | Variation   |   |                      | Yield <sup><math>b</math></sup> of <b>2a</b> (%) |
| 2 $PdCl_2$ instead of $Pd(PPh_3)_4$ 6         3       Xphos instead of dppp       39         4       Toluene instead of EtOAc       19         5       DCE instead of EtOAc       99         6       TMSCN instead of CuCN       58         7       K_4[Fe(CN)_6]·3H_2O instead of CuCN       0         8       3 mol% $Pd(PPh_3)_4$ 53         9       5 mol% dppp       63         10       1.0 equiv. CuCN       92         11       Without Pd(PPh_3)_4       0         12       Without dpp       22         13       Without Na_2CO_3       23         14       In air       0         15 <sup>d</sup> None       99 (96) <sup>c</sup>  | 1                      | None  |   |                      | 99 (98) <sup>c</sup>                             |
| 3       Xphos instead of dppp       39         4       Toluene instead of EtOAc       19         5       DCE instead of EtOAc       99         6       TMSCN instead of CuCN       58         7       K <sub>4</sub> [Fe(CN) <sub>6</sub> ]·3H <sub>2</sub> O instead of CuCN       0         8       3 mol% Pd(PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> 53         9       5 mol% dppp       63         10       1.0 equiv. CuCN       92         11       Without Pd(PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> 0         12       Without dpp       22         13       Without Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> 23         14       In air       0         15 <sup>d</sup> None       99 (96) <sup>c</sup>   | 2                      | $PdCl_2$ instead of $Pd(PPh_3)_4$                                       |   |                      | 6  |
| 4       Toluene instead of EtOAc       19         5       DCE instead of EtOAc       99         6       TMSCN instead of CuCN       58         7 $K_4[Fe(CN)_6]^3H_2O$ instead of CuCN       0         8       3 mol% Pd(PPh_3)_4       53         9       5 mol% dppp       63         10       1.0 equiv. CuCN       92         11       Without Pd(PPh_3)_4       0         12       Without dpp       22         13       Without Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> 23         14       In air       0         15 <sup>d</sup> None       99 (96) <sup>c</sup>  | 3                      | Xphos instead of dppp   |   |                      | 39   |
| 5       DCE instead of EtOAc       99         6       TMSCN instead of CuCN       58         7 $K_4[Fe(CN)_6]$ ·3H <sub>2</sub> O instead of CuCN       0         8       3 mol% Pd(PPh_3)_4       53         9       5 mol% dppp       63         10       1.0 equiv. CuCN       92         11       Without Pd(PPh_3)_4       0         12       Without dpp       22         13       Without Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> 23         14       In air       0         15 <sup>d</sup> None       99 (96) <sup>c</sup>   | 4                      | Toluene instead of EtOAc  |   |                      | 19   |
| 6       TMSCN instead of CuCN       58         7 $K_4[Fe(CN)_6] \cdot 3H_2O$ instead of CuCN       0         8       3 mol% Pd(PPh_3)_4       53         9       5 mol% dppp       63         10       1.0 equiv. CuCN       92         11       Without Pd(PPh_3)_4       0         12       Without dppp       22         13       Without Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> 23         14       In air       0         15 <sup>d</sup> None       99 (96) <sup>c</sup>   | 5                      | DCE instead of EtOAc  |   |                      | 99   |
| 7 $K_4[Fe(CN)_6] \cdot 3H_2O$ instead of CuCN       0         8       3 mol% Pd(PPh_3)_4       53         9       5 mol% dppp       63         10       1.0 equiv. CuCN       92         11       Without Pd(PPh_3)_4       0         12       Without dppp       22         13       Without Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> 23         14       In air       0         15 <sup>d</sup> None       99 (96) <sup>c</sup>  | 6                      | TMSCN instead of CuCN   |   |                      | 58   |
| 8       3 mol% Pd(PPh_3)_4       53         9       5 mol% dppp       63         10       1.0 equiv. CuCN       92         11       Without Pd(PPh_3)_4       0         12       Without pd(PPh_3)_4       0         13       Without Na_2CO_3       23         14       In air       0         15 <sup>d</sup> None       99 (96) <sup>c</sup>   | 7                      | K <sub>4</sub> [Fe(CN) <sub>6</sub> ]·3H <sub>2</sub> O instead of CuCN |   |                      | 0  |
| 9       5 mol% dppp       63         10       1.0 equiv. CuCN       92         11       Without Pd(PPh_3)_4       0         12       Without dppp       22         13       Without Na_2CO_3       23         14       In air       0         15 <sup>d</sup> None       99 (96) <sup>c</sup>   | 8                      | $3 \text{ mol}\% \text{ Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_4$                            |   |                      | 53   |
| 10       1.0 equiv. CuCN       92         11       Without $Pd(PPh_3)_4$ 0         12       Without dppp       22         13       Without $Na_2CO_3$ 23         14       In air       0         15 <sup>d</sup> None       99 (96) <sup>c</sup>  | 9                      | 5 mol% dppp   |   |                      | 63   |
| 11       Without $Pd(PPh_3)_4$ 0         12       Without dppp       22         13       Without $Na_2CO_3$ 23         14       In air       0         15 <sup>d</sup> None       99 (96) <sup>c</sup>  | 10                     | 1.0 equiv. CuCN   |   |                      | 92   |
| 12       Without dppp       22         13       Without Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> 23         14       In air       0 $15^d$ None       99 (96) <sup>c</sup>   | 11                     | Without $Pd(PPh_3)_4$   |   |                      | 0  |
| 13       Without $Na_2CO_3$ 23         14       In air       0 $15^d$ None       99 (96)^c  | 12                     | Without dppp  |   |                      | 22   |
| 14         In air         0 $15^d$ None         99 (96)^c   | 13                     | Without Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>                                 |   |                      | 23   |
| $15^d$ None $99 (96)^c$   | 14                     | In air  |   |                      | 0  |
|   | <b>15</b> <sup>d</sup> | None  |   |                      | <b>99 (96)</b> <sup>c</sup>                      |

<sup>*a*</sup> Conditions: **1a** (0.2 mmol), CuCN (0.24 mmol), Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (5 mol%), ligand (6 mol%), Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (0.3 mmol), solvent (2 mL), 60 °C, N<sub>2</sub>, 12 h. <sup>*b*</sup> Determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis of the crude product using MeNO<sub>2</sub> as the internal standard. <sup>*c*</sup> Isolated yields given in parentheses. <sup>*d*</sup> **1a** (0.3 mmol), CuCN (0.36 mmol), Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (0.45 mmol). Xphos = 2-dicyclohexylphosphino-2',4',6'-triisopropylbiphenyl. N.D. = not detected.

showed no reactivity (Table 1, entry 7). The use of suitable amounts of the catalyst, ligand and base is crucial for the desired cross-coupling reaction (Table 1, entries 8–13). The reaction could hardly take place in the absence of the  $Pd(PPh_3)_4$  catalyst or in air (Table 1, entries 11 and 14). It is noteworthy that the ring-opening cyanation product 2a' was not detected in the reaction mixture by proton NMR/HRMS analysis.

Under the optimal conditions, the scope of terminal alkenyl sulfonium salts (1) was investigated (Table 2). At 60 °C in EtOAc over a period of 12 h, unsubstituted 1,1-diphenylvinyl sulfonium salt (1a) reacted with CuCN and gave the target product 2a in 96% yield. It was observed that the reactivity of substituted terminal alkenyl sulfonium salts of type 1 is very

 Table 2
 Scope of terminal alkenyl sulfonium salts<sup>a</sup>



<sup>*a*</sup> Conditions: **1** (0.3 mmol), CuCN (0.36 mmol), Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (5 mol%), dppp (6 mol%), Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (0.45 mmol), EtOAc (2 mL), 60 °C, N<sub>2</sub>, 12 h. Isolated yields. The molar ratio of (E)/(Z)-isomers was determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis. <sup>*b*</sup> In DCE. <sup>*c*</sup> 80 °C. <sup>*d*</sup> 70 °C. <sup>*e*</sup> Using Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (10 mol%) and dppp (12 mol%).

sensitive to the substituent(s) on the aryl group and the olefinic C=C backbone in the alkenyl sulfonium substrates. Thus, the reaction parameters were further modified to reach higher vields by elevating the temperature, extending the reaction time, using DCE as the reaction medium, and/or increasing the catalyst loading. When one aryl group in the 1,1-diaryl moiety is para- and meta-monosubstituted or 3,4- and 3,5-disubstituted, the target products 2b-2g were obtained in excellent yields (86-98%),<sup>13</sup> and the electronic/steric properties of the substituents, that is, methyl, trifluoromethyl, chloro and fluoro, only slightly affected the reaction efficiency under the stated conditions. 2-Substituents such as 2-methyl and 2-fluoro exhibited an obvious steric impact on the formation of 2h/2h' (57%) and 2i (66%), respectively. It is noteworthy that (E)-2h (24%) and (Z)-2h' (33%) were isolated as two separable isomers, and 2i was isolated as a single (Z)-isomer. When both aryls in the 1,1-diaryl moiety are substituted by the same 4- or 3-positioned groups such as 4-methyl, 4-methoxy, 4-fluoro, 4-bromo, and 3-trifluoromethyl, most of the target products, that is, 2j (94%), 2k (86%), 2l (99%) and 2n (94%), were formed in excellent yields, and only in the case of the 4,4'-dibromo-substituted product 2m a moderate yield (59%) was obtained. Unexpectedly, 1,1-di(2-tolyl)vinyl sulfonium salt 10 did not undergo the desired desulfitative cross-coupling to afford 20 even at 80 °C, exhibiting a remarkable negative steric effect. When both of the aryls in the 1,1-diaryl moiety are substituted by various electron-donating/withdrawing substituents, multisubstituted acrylonitrile derivatives 2p (62%), 2q (95%), 2r (58%) and 2s (CC-5079, 97%) were synthesized in moderate to excellent yields. 2-F in 1r and 3- and 5-OMe groups in 1s demonstrated an obvious negative impact on their reactivity to interact with CuCN under the standard conditions. At 70 °C, 2r was only formed in 30% yield, and increasing the catalyst loading to 10 mol% enhanced its yield to 58%. Notably, the potent antitumor agent CC-5079<sup>3a</sup> (2s) was prepared in 97% yield from such a concise process. It has been documented that copper(1)-catalyzed hydroarylation of 3-aryl-2-propyne-nitriles with arylboronic acids could give CC-5079 in good yields,<sup>14a</sup> and a 1,4-rhodium migration sequence could only give it in 12% yield from the reaction of o-(alkenyl)-substituted arylboronic acid and N-cyano-N-phenyl*p*-methylbenzenesulfonamide.<sup>14b</sup> Styryl sulfonium salt **1t** showed good reactivity to CuCN at 70 °C, resulting in 2t in 79% yield, whereas substituted styryl sulfonium salts exhibited a much lower reactivity to afford 2u (53%) and 2v (67%), respectively. 1-Phenyl-1-cyclopropylvinyl sulfonium salt (1w) reacted well to produce 2w (83%) in the presence of 10 mol% catalyst. Unfortunately, the sulfonium salt generated from terpinene only exhibited poor reactivity to react with CuCN, forming 2x in 28% yield over a period of 4 days at 80 °C.

Next, the protocol generality was studied by conducting the reaction of internal alkenyl sulfonium salts **3** with CuCN (Table 3). The reaction of **3a–3d** with CuCN under the optimal conditions as shown in Table 2 (in EtOAc at 60 °C within 12 h) formed the target products **4a** (75%), **4b** (89%), **4c** (87%) and **4d** (trace), respectively. Elevating the temperature to 70–80 °C,

Table 3 Scope of internal alkenyl sulfonium salts<sup>a</sup>



<sup>*a*</sup> Conditions: **3** (0.3 mmol), CuCN (0.36 mmol), Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (5 mol%), dppp (6 mol%), Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (0.45 mmol), EtOAc (2 mL), 60 °C, N<sub>2</sub>, 12 h. Isolated yields. The molar ratio of (E)/(Z)-isomers was determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis. <sup>*b*</sup> 70 °C. <sup>*c*</sup> 80 °C. <sup>*d*</sup> Using Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (10 mol%) and dppp (12 mol%).

extending the reaction time and/or increasing the catalyst loading obviously enhanced the yields of **4a** (88%), **4b** (97%), **4c** (96%) and **4d** (41%). At 70 °C, the mono-substituted stilbenyl sulfonium salts with 4-methyl (**3b**) and 4-methoxy (**3c**) reacted more efficiently with CuCN than **3a**, giving **4b** (97%) and **4c** (96%) within 6 h, respectively. In these transformations, only (*E*)-products were obtained under the stated conditions. A 3-methyl substituent on one of the two aryl groups (in 3-tolyl) exhibited a remarkable negative steric impact on the reaction efficiency of alkenyl sulfonium salt **3d** to generate a mixture of two inseparable isomers of **4d** (41%, (*E*)/(*Z*) =

1.7:1). 2-Tolyl also exhibited an obvious negative steric effect on the reactivity of 1-phenyl-2-(2-tolyl)vinyl sulfonium salt (3e), leading to two separable isomeric products (E)-4e (27%) and (Z)-4e' (10%). 1-(4-Trifluoromethylphenyl)-2-phenylvinyl sulfonium salt (3f) efficiently reacted with CuCN to quantitatively afford the target product (E)-4f (56%)/(Z)-4f' (43%) as two separable isomers. In a similar manner, 1-(4-fluorophenyl)-2phenylvinyl sulfonium salt (3g) gave a mixture of inseparable isomers as the product (4g, 98%, (E)/(Z) = 3:1). However, 3- and 2-CF<sub>3</sub> groups remarkably increased the negative steric effect on the formation of 4h (71%) and 4i (0%). 2,6-Difluoro functionality behaved similarly to affect the generation of 4i (43%). 4-Tolyl-based di(substituted aryl)-functionalized alkenyl sulfonium salts reacted well with CuCN to give 4k (92%), 4l (80%), (E)-4m (49%)/(Z)-4m1 (48%), and 4n (67%)/4n' (31%), among which 3- and 4-CF<sub>3</sub> groups showed no steric effect on the formation of 4m/4m1 and 4n/4n', whereas a 2-CF<sub>3</sub> group led to much less efficient generation of 40 (38%)/40' (25%). It is noteworthy that a 4-methylthio group diminished the yield of 4l to 80% compared to the 92% yield of the corresponding 4-methoxy-functionlized product 4k, presumably due to the poisoning of the catalytically active palladium metal center by the alkylthio group during the reaction. Isomers 4m and 4m1 were separately isolated and their (E) and (Z)-configurations were identified by X-ray crystallographic single crystal structure determinations (see the ESI<sup>†</sup>). Similar results (97-98% yields) were also obtained for compounds 4p (78%)/4p' (19%) and 4q (89%)/4q' (9%) with 4-CO<sub>2</sub>Me and 3,5-Cl<sub>2</sub> as the substituents on one of the aryl groups. 3- and 2-tolyl moieties dramatically diminished the reactivity of alkenyl sulfonium salts 3r and 3s, resulting in the target products 4r (26%)/4r' (23%) and 4s (20%)/4s' (16%) in much lower yields. Under the stated conditions 1-(3,4-dihydronaphthalen-2-yl)sulfonium salt (3t) quantitatively formed 4t (99%), while the sulfonium salt of indene (3u) underwent the reaction with CuCN less efficiently to give 4u (56%) even under the relatively harsh conditions. 2-Methyl-3-phenylacrylonitrile (4v) was also accessed in a good yield (62%) from the 1-alkyl-1-phenyl-difunctionalized alkenyl sulfonium salt (3v). However, 1,1,2-triphenylvinyl sulfonium salt (3w) hardly underwent the reaction under the stated conditions due to the increased steric hindrance around the olefinic  $C(sp^2)$ -S site. It is noteworthy that the aliphatic, benzyl and N-heteroaromatic alkenyl sulfonium salts could not be successfully prepared through the interrupted Pummerer reaction by means of the corresponding alkenes and tetrahydrothiophene S-oxide in the presence of triflic anhydride.

The interrupted Pummerer activation strategy was tried to functionalize the internal olefinic C–H bonds in 1-naphthyl-2aryl and 1-(2-benzothienyl)-2-aryl alkenes (Scheme 2). Instead, the interrupted Pummerer activation occurred at the 2-C–H site of the naphthyl moiety for 1-(1-naphthyl)-2-(4-CF<sub>3</sub>-phenyl)ethylene, at 1-C–H of the same aryl ring for 1-(2-naphthyl)-2-(4-CF<sub>3</sub>-phenyl)ethylene and at the 3-C–H position of the thienyl ring for 1-(2-benzothienyl)-2-(4-tolyl)ethylene, respectively, giving the aryl and heteroaryl sulfonium salts of type 5. Under the stated conditions, the desulfitative cross-coupling of sulfo-



Scheme 2 Cyanation of alkenyl-functionalized naphthyl and benzothienyl sulfonium salts.

nium salts **5** was conducted with CuCN to afford the corresponding cyanation products **6** in 52–99% yields. Notably, 1-naphthyl sterically deteriorated the formation of **6a** (30% at 70 °C), while 2-naphthyl facilitated the production of **6b** (99%). 3-Cyanobenzothiophene (**6c**) was accessed in 75% yield in a similar fashion. These results have also revealed that condensed aromatic and heteroaromatic C–H bonds are more susceptible to the interrupted Pummerer activation conditions.<sup>8–10</sup> The molecular structures of aryl sulfonium salt **5b**, and nitriles **6b** and **6c** were further identified by X-ray crystallographic single crystal structural analysis (see the ESI†).

To explore the applicability of the present synthetic protocol, gram-scale preparation of 4m/4m1 was performed from the reaction of alkenyl sulfonium salt 3m with CuCN under the standard conditions (Scheme 3a), affording two separable isomers 4m (47%) and 4m1 (49%). Derivatization of the alkenyl nitrile products was exemplified by cyclopropanation of 4m with SOMe<sub>3</sub>1<sup>15a</sup> and oxidation of 2a, 2t and 4m1 with  $H_2O_2$ .<sup>15b</sup> The corresponding derivatization products **7–9** were thus accessed in 65–78% yields (Scheme 3b).

Control experiments were carried out to identify the catalytically active intermediates. A 1:1 molar ratio reaction of alkenyl sulfonium salt 1a or alkenyl triflate 1a'16 with Pd  $(PPh_3)_4$  was conducted in CDCl<sub>3</sub> at ambient temperature or 60 °C, giving no desired alkenylpalladium(II) complex Int-Pd. Instead, alkenyl-phosphonium triflate Int-P was isolated in 63-68% yields (Scheme 4a). Compound Int-P was structurally identified by ESI-TOF-MS (HRMS [M-OTf]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>26</sub>P: 441.1767; found 441.1770) and its NMR features (<sup>31</sup>P NMR: 13.2 ppm) through comparison with that of its known tetrafluoroborate (<sup>31</sup>P NMR: 9.9 ppm).<sup>17a</sup> Complex Int-Pd may be too unstable under the stated conditions to be detected and characterized.<sup>17b</sup> Thus, the analogue of **1a** and **1a**', that is, 1,1diphenyl-2-bromoethylene, was used for the mechanistic study. Under the standard conditions 1,1-diphenyl-2-bromoethylene reacted with CuCN to afford 2a in 40% yield (Scheme 4b), and the stoichiometric reaction of alkenylpalladium(II) complex Int-Pd',<sup>18</sup> a readily available stable analogue of complex Int-Pd, with CuCN formed 2a in 80% yield (Scheme 4c). Under the conditions which are closer to the optimal conditions, as shown in Table 1, that is, in the presence of 5 mol% Int-Pd' as the catalyst, and 10 mol% PPh<sub>3</sub> and 6 mol% dppp as the ligands, the reaction of **1a** with CuCN formed the target product 2a in 88% yield (Scheme 4d), suggesting that an alkenylpalladium(II) species of type Int-Pd may be involved in the present desulfitative cross-coupling process. The addition of 1,1-diphenylethylene and 2,6-di-tertbutyl-4-methylphenol (BHT) as radical inhibitors in the reaction mixture of 1a and CuCN did not affect the reaction efficiency, excluding a radical pathway. The introduction of 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-1-oxyl (TEMPO) as a radical scavenger into the same reaction system led to 2a in 26% yield (see the ESI<sup>†</sup>), which is presumably attributed to the possible



Scheme 3 Gram-scale preparation and derivatization of alkenyl nitriles.





Scheme 5 Proposed mechanism.

Pd(0) catalyst deactivation by the oxidation of TEMPO, and is consistent with the observation of the model reaction conducted in air (Table 1, entry 14).

Based on the mechanistic studies and the previous work,  $^{10b,17b}$  a plausible cyanation mechanism is proposed in Scheme 5. Initially, the oxidative addition of alkenyl sulfonium salt **1a** to palladium(0) occurs to form alkenyl palladium(II) complex **A**, followed by ligand exchange to generate alkenylpalladium(II) triflate complex **B** with the release of tetrahydrothiophene. Subsequently, species **B** is transmetalated with CuCN resulting in cyanated alkenylpalladium(II) complex **C**. Eventually, reductive elimination takes place to afford the final product **2a** and regenerates the catalytically active Pd(0) species to complete the catalytic cycle.

#### Conclusions

In conclusion, a highly efficient strategy to access diverse alkenyl nitriles has been developed through regio- and stereoselective interrupted Pummerer activation/palladium-catalyzed cyanation *via* alkenyl sulfonium salts. The present method was successfully applied for the stereoscopical synthesis of the potent antitumor agent CC-5079. The synthetic protocol features high chemo- and regioselectivities, broad substrate scope, and excellent functional group tolerance. The scale-up preparation and product derivatization applications have shown its potential practicality in organic synthesis.

#### Author contributions

J.M. started and performed the experiments and prepared the ESI.<sup>†</sup> J.L. and Z.L.H. partially analyzed and interpreted the results. P.W. synthesized some of the sulfonium salts. Y.-G.Z. supervised some of the experiments. Z.K.Y. conceived and directed the project and wrote the manuscript.

### Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

#### Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the National Natural Science Foundation of China (22171261) for support of this research.

#### References

- (a) L. Bayeh, P. Q. Le and U. K. Tambar, Catalytic Allylic Oxidation of Internal Alkenes to a Multifunctional Chiral Building Block, *Nature*, 2017, 547, 196–200; (b) J. Zhang, X. N. Lu, C. Shen, L. Y. Xu, L. Y. Ding and G. F. Zhong, Recent Advances in Chelation-Assisted Site- and Stereoselective Alkenyl C–H Functionalization, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2021, 50, 3263–3314.
- 2 (a) F. F. Fleming, L.-H. Yao, P. C. Ravikumar, L. Funk and B. C. Shook, Nitrile-Containing Pharmaceuticals: Efficacious Roles of the Nitrile Pharmacophore, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2010, 53, 7902–7917; (b) C. R. Qi, Y. B. Peng, L. Ouyang, Y. W. Ren and H. F. Jiang, Base-Promoted Addition of Arylacetonitriles to Terminal Alkynes: Regioand Stereoselective Access to Disubstituted Acrylonitriles, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2017, 359, 1339–1350.
- 3 (a) L.-H. Zhang, L. Wu, H. K. Raymon, R. S. Chen, L. Corral, M. A. Shirley, R. K. Narla, J. Gamez, G. W. Muller, D. I. Stirling, J. B. Bartlett, P. H. Schafer and F. Payvandi, The Synthetic Compound CC-5079 Is a Potent Inhibitor of Tubulin Polymerization and Tumor Necrosis Factor- $\alpha$ Production with Antitumor Activity, Cancer Res., 2006, 66, 951–959; (b) M. Sharma and L. D. Saravolatz, Rilpivirine: A New Non-Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitor, Antimicrob. Chemother., 2013, 68, 250-256; I. (c) D. N. Olennikov, N. I. Kashchenko and A. Akobirshoeva, Phenolic Compounds and Hydroxynitrile Glycosides from Roots of Rhodiola recticaulis and R. gelida, Chem. Nat. Compd., 2019, 55, 948-950.
- 4 (*a*) F. F. Fleming and Q. Z. Wang, Unsaturated Nitriles: Conjugate Additions of Carbon Nucleophiles to a Recalcitrant Class of Acceptors, *Chem. Rev.*, 2003, **103**, 2035–2077; (*b*) Y. Nakao, Metal-Mediated C–CN Bond Activation in Organic Synthesis, *Chem. Rev.*, 2021, **121**, 327–344.
- 5 (a) X. W. Miao, P. H. Dixneuf, C. Fischmeister and C. Bruneau, A Green Route to Nitrogen-Containing Groups: The Acrylonitrile Cross-Metathesis and Applications to Plant Oil Derivatives, Green Chem., 2011, 13, 2258-2271; (b) R. Gawin, A. Tracz, M. Chwalba, A. Kozakiewicz, B. Trzaskowski and K. Skowerski, Cyclic Alkyl Amino Ruthenium Complexes-Efficient Catalysts for Macrocyclization and Acrylonitrile Cross Metathesis, ACS Catal., 2017, 7, 5443-5449; (c) Y. C. Mu, T. T. Nguyen, M. J. Koh, R. R. Schrock and A. H. Hoveyda, E- and Z-, Diand Tri-Substituted Alkenyl Nitriles Through Catalytic Cross-Metathesis, Nat. Chem., 2019, 11, 478-487; (d) W. Su, T.-J. Gong, B. Xiao and Y. Fu, Rhodium(III)-Catalyzed Cyanation of Vinylic C-H Bonds: N-Cyano-N-phenyl-p-

toluenesulfonamide as a Cyanation Reagent, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 11848–11851; (e) D.-W. Gao, E. V. Vinogradova, S. K. Nimmagadda, J. M. Medina, Y. Y. Xiao, R. M. Suciu, B. F. Cravatt and K. M. Engle, Direct Access to Versatile Electrophiles *via* Catalytic Oxidative Cyanation of Alkenes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 8069– 8073; (f) X. Wang and A. Studer, Metal-Free Direct C–H Cyanation of Alkenes, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 11792–11796.

- 6 (a) A. Pradal and G. Evano, A Vinylic Rosenmund-von Braun Reaction: Practical Synthesis of Acrylonitriles, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, 50, 11907–11910; (b) K. J. Powell, L.-C. Han, P. Sharma and J. E. Moses, Chemoselective Palladium-Catalyzed Cyanation of Alkenyl Halides, *Org. Lett.*, 2014, 16, 2158–2161.
- 7 (a) B. Prabagar, Y. Q. Yang and Z. Z. Shi, Site-Selective C–H Functionalization to Access the Arene Backbone of Indoles and Quinolines, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2021, **50**, 11249–11269;
  (b) M.-Z. Lu, J. Goh, M. Maraswami, Z. H. Jia, J.-S. Tian and T.-P. Loh, Recent Advances in Alkenyl sp<sup>2</sup> C–H and C–F Bond Functionalizations: Scope, Mechanism, and Applications, *Chem. Rev.*, 2022, **122**, 17479–17646.
- 8 (a) D. Kaiser, I. Klose, R. Oost, J. Neuhaus and N. Maulide, Bond-Forming and -Breaking Reactions at Sulfur(IV): Sulfoxides, Sulfonium Salts, Sulfur Ylides, and Sulfinate Salts, *Chem. Rev.*, 2019, **119**, 8701–8780; (b) J. Lou, Q. N. Wang, P. Wu, H. M. Wang, Y.-G. Zhou and Z. K. Yu, Transition-Metal Mediated Carbon–Sulfur Bond Activation and Transformations: An Update, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2020, **49**, 4307–4359; (c) H. Yorimitsu, Catalytic Transformations of Sulfonium Salts *via* C–S Bond Activation, *Chem. Rec.*, 2021, **21**, 3356–3369; (d) A. Peter, G. J. P. Perry and D. J. Procter, Radical C–C Bond Formation using Sulfonium Salts and Light, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2020, **362**, 2135–2142.
- 9 F. Berger, M. B. Plutschack, J. Riegger, W. W. Yu, S. Speicher, M. Ho, N. Frank and T. Ritter, Site-Selective and Versatile Aromatic C–H Functionalization by Thianthrenation, *Nature*, 2019, 567, 223–228.
- 10 (a) J. Srogl, G. D. Allred and L. S. Liebeskind, Sulfonium Salts. Participants par Excellence in Metal-Catalyzed Carbon-Carbon Bond-Forming Reactions, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1997, 119, 12376-12377; (b) M. H. Aukland, F. J. T. Talbot, J. A. Fernández-Salas, M. Ball, A. P. Pulis and D. J. Procter, An Interrupted Pummerer/Nickel-Catalysed Cross-Coupling Sequence, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 9785-9789; (c) Y.-L. Zhang, L. Yang, J. Wu, C. Y. Zhu and P. Wang, Vinyl Sulfonium Salts as the Radical Acceptor for Metal-Free Decarboxylative Alkenylation, Org. Lett., 2020, 22, 7768–7772; (d) J. T. Chen, J. K. Li, M. B. Plutschack, F. Berger and T. Ritter, Regio- and Stereoselective Thianthrenation of Olefins to Access Versatile Alkenyl Electrophiles, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2020, 59, 5616-5620; (e) F. Juliá, J. Y. Yan, F. Paulus and T. Ritter, Vinyl Thianthrenium Tetrafluoroborate: A Practical and Versatile Vinylating Reagent Made from Ethylene, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2021, 143, 12992–12998; (f) R. Xie, J. Zhu and Y. H. Huang,

Cu-Catalyzed Highly Selective Silvlation and Borylation of Alkenylsulfonium Salts, Org. Chem. Front., 2021, 8, 5699-5704; (g) A. Selmani and F. Schoenebeck, Transition-Metal-Free, Formal C-H Germylation of Arenes and Styrenes via Dibenzothiophenium Salts, Org. Lett., 2021, 23, 4779-4784; (h) L.-H. Zou, B. Liu, C. Wang, Z. Y. Shao, J. Q. Zhou, A. D. Shao and J. Wen, Selective Synthesis of Alkyl Amines and N-Vinylazoles from Vinyl Sulfonium Salts with N-Nucleophiles, Org. Chem. Front., 2022, 9, 3231-3236; (i) D. J. Wang, K. Targos and Z. K. Wickens, Electrochemical Synthesis of Allylic Amines from Terminal Alkenes and Secondary Amines, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2021, 143, 21503-21510; (j) M.-S. Liu, H.-W. Du and W. Shu, Metal-Free Allylic C-H Nitrogenation, Oxygenation, and Carbonation of Alkenes by Thianthrenation, Chem. Sci., 2022, 13, 1003-1008.

- 11 F. Berger, E. M. Alvarez, N. Frank, K. Bohdan, M. Kondratiuk, L. Torkowski, P. S. Engl, J. Barletta and T. Ritter, *Cine*-Substitutions at Five-Membered Hetarenes Enabled by Sulfonium Salts, *Org. Lett.*, 2020, 22, 5671– 5674.
- 12 (a) Y. He, Z. L. Huang, J. Ma, F. Huang, J. Lin, H. M. Wang, B.-H. Xu, Y.-G. Zhou and Z. K. Yu, Palladium-Catalyzed Fluoroalkylation via  $C(sp^3)-S$ Bond Cleavage of Vinylsulfonium Salts, Org. Lett., 2021, 23, 6110-6114; (b) Y. He, Z. L. Huang, J. Ma, J. Lin, Y.-G. Zhou and z. К. Yu, Transition-Metal-Free Olefinic C-H Azidoalkylthiolation via C(sp<sup>3</sup>)–S Bond Cleavage of Vinylsulfonium Salts, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2022, 364, 3023-3034.
- 13 Q. Z. Yan, D. Y. Kong, M. N. Li, G. H. Hou and G. F. Zi, Highly Efficient Rh-Catalyzed Asymmetric Hydrogenation of  $\alpha,\beta$ -Unsaturated Nitriles, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 10177–10181.
- 14 (a) Y. Yamamoto, T. Asatani and N. Kirai, Copper-Catalyzed Stereoselective Hydroarylation of 3-Aryl-2-propynenitriles with Arylboronic Acids, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2009, 351, 1243– 1249; (b) X. S. Lu and Y. H. Huang, Stereospecific Cyanation of the Olefinic C–H Bond Enabled by 1,4-Rhodium Migration, *Org. Chem. Front.*, 2021, 8, 3008–3013.
- (a) B. Muriel and J. Waser, Azide Radical Initiated Ring Opening of Cyclopropenes Leading to Alkenyl Nitriles and Polycyclic Aromatic Compounds, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 4075–4079; (b) M. J. Hu, J.-N. He, Y. P. Liu, T. T. Dong, M. Y. Chen, C. Yan, Y. L. Ye and B. Peng, [3,3]-Sigmatropic Rearrangement of Aryl Fluoroalkyl Sulfoxides with Alkyl Nitriles, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2020, 193–197.
- 16 A. Desaintjean, S. Belrhomari, L. Rousseau, G. Lefevre and P. Knochel, Iron-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling of Functionalized Benzylmanganese Halides with Alkenyl Iodides, Bromides, and Triflates, *Org. Lett.*, 2019, 21, 8684– 8688.
- 17 (a) H. Grutzmacher and H. Pritzkow, Reaction of Stannyl-Substituted Phosphorus Ylides with Boron Trifluoride Diethyl Etherate: Evidence for Formation of Transient Triphenylphosphonio-Substituted Stannaethenes,

Organometallics, 1991, **10**, 938–946; (b) C.-C. Huang, J.-P. Duan, M.-Y. Wu, F.-L. Liao, S.-L. Wang and C.-H. Cheng, Synthesis and Structure of  $\eta^{2}$ -Phosphonioalkene–Palladium(0) Complexes. A Catalyst Intermediate in the Palladium-Mediated Synthesis of Alkenylphosphonium Halides, *Organometallics*, 1998, **17**, 676–682.

18 Q. Ma, C. Liu and G. C. Tsui, Palladium-Catalyzed Stereoselective Hydrodefluorination of Tetrasubstituted *gem*-Difluoroalkenes, *Org. Lett.*, 2020, **22**, 5193–5197.