

## Catalytic Asymmetric Hydrogenation of 2,3,5-Trisubstituted Pyrroles


Ryoichi Kuwano,\* Manabu Kashiwabara, Masato Ohsumi, and Hiroki Kusano

Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Sciences, Kyushu University, 6-10-1 Hakozaki, Higashi-ku, Fukuoka 812-8581, Japan

Received November 12, 2007; E-mail: rkuwascc@mbox.nc.kyushu-u.ac.jp

The catalytic asymmetric hydrogenation of prochiral double bonds is a versatile method for preparing optically active compounds.<sup>1</sup> By contrast, reports of highly enantioselective hydrogenation of heteroaromatics by asymmetric catalysis have been rare,<sup>2</sup> although such a process holds the potential of providing chiral heterocyclic skeletons with high efficiency. To date, a quinoxaline,<sup>3</sup> indoles,<sup>4,5</sup> quinolines,<sup>6,7</sup> pyridines,<sup>8–10</sup> and furans<sup>11</sup> have been successfully hydrogenated with high enantiomeric excesses. Catalytic asymmetric hydrogenations of other heteroaromatics, however, have remained an unsettled problem in organic chemistry. In particular, there has been a distinct lack of development regarding the stereoselective hydrogenation of pyrroles despite its potential usefulness.<sup>12</sup> Such a hydrogenation would provide direct access to optically active pyrrolidine skeletons, which are often present in natural products and biologically active molecules. Here, we report a highly enantioselective hydrogenation of pyrroles with a chiral ruthenium catalyst, which gave optically active pyrrolidines or dihydropyrroles with up to 99.7% ee.

Recently, we have developed a method for the asymmetric hydrogenation of indoles, using the rhodium or ruthenium complex equipped with the *trans*-chelating bisphosphine PhTRAP<sup>13</sup> as a chiral ligand.<sup>4,5</sup> As a test case, we attempted the reaction of pyrrole-2-carboxylate **1a** with hydrogen in the presence of the ruthenium catalyst (1%) generated in situ from (*S,S*)-(*R,R*)-PhTRAP and Ru( $\eta^3$ -methylallyl)<sub>2</sub>(cod)<sup>14</sup> (eq 1).



(*S*)-*N*-Boc-proline methyl ester (**2a**) was obtained in 92% isolated yield with 73% ee when the hydrogenation was conducted at 60 °C in 2-propanol under 50 atm of hydrogen gas. The selectivity was improved by adding a catalytic amount of base, in this case triethylamine. The ruthenium-catalyzed hydrogenation of **1a** was then attempted using various chiral bisphosphine ligands other than PhTRAP. In most cases, the product **2a** was obtained quantitatively but in racemic form.<sup>15</sup> Using a reduced hydrogen pressure (10 atm) brought about a slight decrease in the enantiomeric excess as well as in the yield of **2a** (87% yield, 70% ee).

As mentioned above, the PhTRAP–ruthenium catalyst showed high enantioselectivity for the hydrogenation of 2-substituted *N*-Boc-indoles.<sup>5</sup> Since indoles can be viewed as pyrroles bearing substitu-

ents at their 2,3,5-positions, we directed our attention to the reaction of 2,3,5-trisubstituted pyrroles. In order to evaluate the substituents' effect on the stereoselectivity, we conducted the hydrogenations of three possible regioisomers **1b–d** of methyl *N*-Boc-dimethylpyrrolecarboxylate in the presence of 2.5% Ru( $\eta^3$ -methylallyl)<sub>2</sub>(cod)–(*S,S*)-(*R,R*)-PhTRAP catalyst for 24 h (Table 1, entries 1–3). The reaction of 3,5-dimethylpyrrole-2-carboxylate **1b** afforded partly hydrogenated product **3b** with 75% ee as well as pyrrolidine **2b** with 11% ee (entry 1). The ee values indicate that the further hydrogenation of enamide **3b** took place with kinetic resolution. As a matter of fact, 73% of the substrate **1b** was converted into **2b** with 26% ee or **3b** with 49% ee in the ratio of 21:52 at 6 h. The virtual enantioselectivity in the transformation of **1b** into **3b** can be estimated to be 28% ee from the above results.<sup>15</sup> The hydrogenation of pyrrole **1c**, whose ester group is located at the 3-position, produced the desired pyrrolidine **2c** in 74% ee along with a small amount of monohydrogenation product **3c** (entry 2). We were pleased to observe that substrate **1d** was converted into 4,5-dimethylpyrrolidine-2-carboxylate **2d** with 96% ee (entry 3). The high level of asymmetric induction may be caused by the size of the 2-carboxylate group of **1d**. In the above reactions of **1b–d**, each fully saturated product **2** was obtained as a single diastereomer, whose three substituents were located *cis* to one another.



Various 2,3,5-trisubstituted pyrroles were then subjected to the ruthenium-catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation. The hydrogenation of 4,5,6,7-tetrahydroindole **1e** gave the monohydrogenation product **3e** with 95% ee (*S*) (entry 4). The subsequent hydrogenation of the dihydropyrrole proceeded with 89% de to give octahydroindole **2e** in only 8% isolated yield; however, **3e** in hand could be converted into (*2S,3aS,7aS*)-**2e** with complete diastereoselectivity by hydrogenation over Pd/C. The high degree of asymmetric induction did not require the carboxylate functionality at the 2-position of **1d** and **1e**. Pyrrole **1f**, which has phenyl as the R<sup>1</sup> group, was transformed into chiral pyrrolidine **2f** with high enantiomeric excess (entry 5). Replacing 2- and 3-alkyl of **1f** with aryl groups brought about remarkable enhancement of the enantioselectivity. The hydrogenation of triphenylpyrrole **1g** afforded dihydropyrrole **3g** with 99.7% ee (entry 6). No pyrrolidine was detected from the reaction mixture. Although the methoxy group on the 5-aryl group of **1i** caused a slight decrease of the stereoselectivity, most triarylpyrroles were converted into the monohydrogenated products with over 99% ee (entries 7–10).

In the aforementioned hydrogenations of pyrroles **1**, no regioisomers of dihydropyrroles **3** were detected by the <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis of the crude products. This observation suggests that the present asymmetric hydrogenation of **1** to pyrrolidines **2** proceeds stepwise through successive 1,2-additions of hydrogen to two C–C double bond. The less hindered double bond of **1** reacts with hydrogen first, followed by saturation of the remaining carbon–carbon double bond of **3**. In the asymmetric hydrogenation of **1a**, the first

**Table 1.** Catalytic Asymmetric Hydrogenation of 2,3,5-Trisubstituted Pyrroles<sup>a</sup>

entry	R <sup>1</sup>	R <sup>2</sup>	R <sup>3</sup>	1	convn (%) <sup>b</sup>	2:3 <sup>b</sup>	yield (%) <sup>c</sup>	ee (%) <sup>d</sup>
1	Me	Me	MeO <sub>2</sub> C	<b>1b</b>	100	54:46	<b>2b</b> : 52, <b>3b</b> : 42	<b>2b</b> : 11, <b>3b</b> : 75
2	Me	MeO <sub>2</sub> C	Me	<b>1c</b>	100	98:2	<b>2c</b> : 91	<b>2c</b> : 74, <b>3c</b> : 43
3	MeO <sub>2</sub> C	Me	Me	<b>1d</b>	100	100:0	<b>2d</b> : 85	<b>2d</b> : 96
4	MeO <sub>2</sub> C	-(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> -		<b>1e</b>	100	16:84	<b>2e</b> : 8, <b>3e</b> : 70	<b>2e</b> : 91, <b>3e</b> : 95
5	Ph	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>7</sub>	Me	<b>1f</b>	100	100:0	<b>2f</b> : 96	<b>2f</b> : 93
6	Ph	Ph	Ph	<b>1g</b>	100	0:100	<b>3g</b> : >99	<b>3g</b> : 99.7
7	<i>p</i> -FC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Ph	Ph	<b>1h</b>	100	0:100	<b>3h</b> : 99	<b>3h</b> : 99.3
8	<i>p</i> -MeOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Ph	Ph	<b>1i</b>	100	0:100	<b>3i</b> : 96	<b>3i</b> : 98
9	Ph	Ph	<i>p</i> -CF <sub>3</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	<b>1j</b>	100	0:100	<b>3j</b> : >99	<b>3j</b> : 99.6
10	Ph	Ph	<i>p</i> -MeOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	<b>1k</b>	100	0:100	<b>3k</b> : 97	<b>3k</b> : 99.2

<sup>a</sup> Reactions were conducted on a 0.2 mmol scale in 1.0 mL of EtOAc. The ratio of **1**:[Ru]:PhTRAP:Et<sub>3</sub>N was 40:1:1.1:10. <sup>b</sup> Determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis of crude product. In all cases, no side product was observed in the spectra. <sup>c</sup> Isolated yields. <sup>d</sup> Determined by chiral GC or HPLC analysis. <sup>e</sup> The product **2e** was obtained as a mixture of two diastereomers (89% de).

**Scheme 1****Scheme 2**

hydrogenation would produce achiral intermediate **3a** (Scheme 1). The chiral center of **2a** would be created during the hydrogenation of enamide **3a**.<sup>16</sup> By contrast, 2,3,5-trisubstituted pyrroles **1b–k** are reduced enantiomerically to chiral enamides in the initial step (Scheme 2). The stereoselectivity in the additional reduction of **3** must be controlled by the chirality at the 5-position rather than the chiral catalyst: if the stereoselectivity had been dictated by the chiral catalyst, preferential formation of *trans*-2,5-substituted pyrrolidines would have been observed in the reactions of **1b–f** (Table 1, entries 1–5). The difference of the enantiodiscrimination step between the hydrogenations of **1a** and others suggests that the PhTRAP–ruthenium catalyst is suitable for asymmetric hydrogenation of pyrroles rather than for that of cyclic enamides.

In conclusion, we were successful in developing the highly enantioselective hydrogenation of *N*-Boc-pyrroles by using chiral Ru( $\eta^3$ -methallyl)<sub>2</sub>(cod)–(*S,S*)-(R,R)-PhTRAP catalyst. In particular, 2,3,5-trisubstituted pyrroles bearing a large substituent at the 5-position were hydrogenated with high ee values to give chiral 4,5-dihydropyrroles **3** or pyrrolidines **2** in high yields. This is the first successful enantioselective reduction of pyrroles. Of note is the fact that the asymmetric hydrogenation of **1d** creates three chiral centers with high level of stereocontrol in a single process.

**Acknowledgment.** This work was supported by the Uehara Memorial Foundation and KAKENHI (No. 18032056 and 19685008) from MEXT.

**Supporting Information Available:** Experimental procedures and characterization data for all compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

**References**

- Recent reviews: (a) Blaser, H.-U.; Malan, C.; Pugin, B.; Spindler, F.; Steiner, H.; Studer, M. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2003**, *345*, 103–151. (b) Cui, X.; Burgess, K. *Chem. Rev.* **2005**, *105*, 3272–3296. (c) Jäkel, C.; Paciello, R. *Chem. Rev.* **2006**, *106*, 2912–2942.
- (a) Besson, M.; Pinel, C. *Top. Catal.* **2003**, *25*, 43–61. (b) Glorius, F. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2005**, *3*, 4171–4175.
- Bianchini, C.; Barbaro, P.; Scapacci, G.; Farnetti, E.; Graziani, M. *Organometallics* **1998**, *17*, 3308–3310.
- (a) Kuwano, R.; Sato, K.; Kurokawa, T.; Karube, D.; Ito, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 7614–7615. (b) Kuwano, R.; Kashiwabara, M.; Sato, K.; Ito, T.; Kaneda, K.; Ito, Y. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2006**, *17*, 521–535.
- Kuwano, R.; Kashiwabara, M. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 2653–2655.
- Representative examples: (a) Wang, W.-B.; Lu, S.-M.; Yang, P.-Y.; Han, X.-W.; Zhou, Y.-G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 10536–10537. (b) Lu, S.-M.; Wang, Y.-Q.; Han, X.-W.; Zhou, Y.-G. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 2260–2263. (c) Reetz, M. T.; Li, X. *Chem. Commun.* **2006**, 2159–2160. (d) Tang, W.-J.; Zhu, S.-F.; Xu, L.-J.; Zhou, Q.-L.; Fan, Q.-H.; Zhou, H.-F.; Lam, K.; Chan, A. S. C. *Chem. Commun.* **2007**, 613–615.
- High enantioselectivity was achieved in the reduction of quinolines with organocatalysis; see: Rueping, M.; Antonchick, A. P.; Theissmann, T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 3683–3686.
- Legault, C. Y.; Charette, A. B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 8966–8967.
- Very recently, high enantioselectivity has been reported in the organocatalytic transfer hydrogenation of pyridines; see: Rueping, M.; Antonchick, A. P. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 4562–4565.
- Highly stereoselective hydrogenation of pyridines modified with a chiral oxazolidinone with heterogeneous catalysis has been reported; see: Glorius, F.; Spielkamp, N.; Holle, S.; Goddard, R.; Lehmann, C. W. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 2850–2852.
- (a) Kaiser, S.; Smidt, S. P.; Pfaltz, A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 5194–5197. (b) Feiertag, P.; Albert, M.; Nettekoven, U.; Spindler, F. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 4133–4135.
- Highly stereoselective hydrogenation of a pyrrole modified with chiral auxiliary had been reported; see: Hada, V.; Tungler, A.; Szepes, L. *Appl. Catal. A* **2001**, *210*, 165–171.
- (a) Sawamura, M.; Hamashima, H.; Sugawara, M.; Kuwano, R.; Ito, Y. *Organometallics* **1995**, *14*, 4549–4558. (b) Kuwano, R.; Sawamura, M. In *Catalysts for Fine Chemical Synthesis, Volume 5: Regio- and Stereo-Controlled Oxidations and Reductions*; Roberts, S. M.; Whittall, J., Eds.; John Wiley & Sons: West Sussex, 2007; pp 73–86.
- (a) Genêt, J. P.; Pinel, C.; Mallart, S.; Juge, S.; Thorimbert, S.; Laffitte, J. A. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1991**, *2*, 555–567. (b) Genêt, J. P.; Pinel, C.; Ratovelomanana-Vidal, V.; Mallart, S.; Pfister, X.; Andrade, M. C. C. D.; Laffitte, J. A. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1994**, *5*, 665–674.
- See Supporting Information for details.
- When the hydrogenation of ethyl 2-pyrrolocarboxylate using the PhTRAP–ruthenium catalyst was stopped at 2 h (29% conversion, 58% ee), the resulting mixture contained only the starting material and the desired pyrrolidine (by <sup>1</sup>H NMR). No enamide **3a** was detected. The observation suggests that the conversion of enamide **3a** into **2a** will be much faster than the first hydrogenation of **1a** because **3a** lacks aromaticity.

JA7102422