

Asymmetric Hydrogenation of Unprotected Indoles Catalyzed by η^6 -Arene/*N*-Me-sulfonyldiamine–Ru(II) Complexes

Taichiro Touge*,^{†,‡} and Takayoshi Arai*,[†]

[†]Molecular Chirality Research Center, Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Chiba University, 1-33 Yayoi, Inage-Ku, Chiba 263-8522, Japan

[‡]Corporate Research & Development Division, Takasago International Corporation, 1-4-11 Nishi-yawata, Hiratsuka City, Kanagawa 254-0073, Japan

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Protecting-group-free transformation is a challenging and important issue in atom-economical organic synthesis. The η^6 -arene/N-Me-sulfonyldiamine-Ru(II)-BF₄ complex-catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation of 2-substituted unprotected indoles in weakly acidic hexafluoroisopropanol gives optically active indoline compounds with up to >99% ee. Under mild reaction media, halogen atoms and synthetically important protecting groups (e.g., silyl ether, acetal, benzyl ether, and ester) on indoles are maintained, which is advantageous for the synthesis of further complex indoline molecules.

INTRODUCTION

Chiral indolines are important structural motifs in naturally occurring alkaloids and numerous bioactive compounds.^{1–3} For example, the antitumor agent SAR-260301 (1)^{1h,i} is an *N*-amide of (*S*)-2-methylindoline, and the anti-inflammatory agent 2^{1j} and antitumor agent 3^{1k} also contain the chiral indoline skeleton (Figure 1).

Among the various methods that are available for the synthesis of chiral indolines,² the direct asymmetric hydrogenation of 2-substituted indoles is the simplest, most practical, and atom-efficient.³

The η^6 -arene/sulfonyldiamine-Ru(II) complexes pioneered by Noyori and Ikariya⁴ have been shown to exhibit excellent



Figure 1. Examples of chiral indoline-containing biologically active compounds.

catalytic activity in a wide range of asymmetric transfer hydrogenations of ketones or imines (Figure 2). Ohkuma



Figure 2. η^6 -Arene/sulfonyldiamine-Ru(II) complexes.

reported the cationic Ru(OTf)(TsDPEN)(*p*-cymene) complex **5a**, which works efficiently in methanol for the catalytic asymmetric hydrogenation of ketonic substrates.⁵ The BF₄ analogue, (R,R)-**5b**, has also been shown to exhibit similar catalytic activity.^{6a}

Through the use of η^{6} -arene/sulfonyldiamine-Ru(II) complexes, transfer hydrogenation and H₂ hydrogenation of prochiral ketones,^{4,5} imines, quinolones, and quinoxalines have been widely investigated, as summarized in Scheme 1.⁶ For example, 2-methylquinoline and 2-methylquinoxaline can be successfully reduced by (*R*,*R*)-4 with formic acid as the hydrogen source [Method A].^{6h} In the reduction of an imine substrate, 2,3,3-trimethylindolenine is smoothly obtained by

Received: June 24, 2016 **Published:** August 10, 2016 Scheme 1. Asymmetric Reduction of N-Heteroaromatic Compounds with η^6 -Arene/Sulfonyldiamine-Ru(II) Complexes⁷

[Method A] Asymmetric Transfer Hydrogenation

cat. (R,R)-4 (1 mol %), HCOOH-Et₃N (5:2), 60 °C, 8 h

[Method B] Asymmetric Hydrogenation

cat. (R,R)-5b (1 mol %), H₂ (3 MPa), MeOH, 40 °C, 18 h

(a) 2-Methylquinoline



[Method A] 55% yield, 65% ee [Method B] >99% yield, 95% ee

(b) 2-Methylquinoxaline

$$(\mathbf{x}_{n}^{n}\mathbf{x}) \longrightarrow (\mathbf{x}_{n}^{n}\mathbf{x})$$

[Method A] 79% yield, 83% ee [Method B] 92% yield, 26% ee

(c) 2,3,3-Trimethylindolenine

$$\bigcirc \downarrow \longrightarrow \bigcirc \downarrow \square$$

[Method A] 43% yield, 39% ee [Method B] >99% yield, 89% ee

(d) 2-Methylindole

(R,R)-**5b** in methanol with hydrogen gas as a hydrogen source [Method B].^{6b,d,e,g,j,k} However, the reduction of indoles is difficult to achieve with Ru complexes under these conditions.

Kuwano and Ito reported the first hydrogenation of the olefin portion of N-protected indoles using Rh and a Ru/PhTRAP complex under basic conditions.^{8a-d} Feringa^{8e} and Pfaltz^{8f} also reported the asymmetric hydrogenation of N-protected indoles with the use of Rh and Ir/N,P catalysts (Scheme 2, eq 1). In contrast, the hydrogenation of unprotected indoles is still an unsolved challenge. Zhang,

Scheme 2. Classification of the Asymmetric Hydrogenation of Indoles

(a) hydrogenation of olefin of protected indole



Zhou, and co-workers approached this problem by changing the reduction of the olefin portion of indole^{9a,b} (a) to the reduction of an iminium ion intermediate (b), which is generated with the assistance of a Br ϕ nsted acid. While the chiral diphosphine–Pd catalyst reduced the iminium ion intermediate, a stoichiometric amount of a strong Br ϕ nsted acid (e.g., camphorsulfonic acid) was required as an *activator* (Scheme 2, eq 2).

There has been limited success in the catalytic asymmetric reduction of unprotected indoles, and there is no previous report on the Ru-catalyzed reduction of unprotected indoles. We report here the first chiral Ru(II) complex-catalyzed hydrogenation of unprotected indoles under mild reaction conditions in protic solvent.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Initial Screening of Asymmetric Hydrogenation of 2-Methylindole. Based on the work of Zhang and Zhou, we considered that the iminium intermediate is a *key point* for the reduction of indole derivatives with the use of η^6 -arene/ sulfonyldiamine-Ru(II)-type complexes. Based on these pioneering works,^{9a,b} η^6 -arene/sulfonyldiamine-Ru(II) complexes were applied to the asymmetric hydrogenation of unprotected 2-methylindole (6a) (Table 1). For the reaction

 Table 1. Initial Screening for the Asymmetric Hydrogenation of 2-Methylindole

	→ + H ₂	Catalyst (S	/C = 500)		
63	N (5.0 MPa)	Solvent, 30	°C, 7 h	7a	
vu					
entry	catalyst	solvent ^a	yield (%) ^b	ee (%) ^c	
1	(R,R)- 4	MeOH	<0.1		
2	(R,R)- 4	toluene	<0.1		
3	(R,R)- 5b	MeOH	<1		
4	(R,R)- 5b	toluene	1		
5	(R,R)- 5b	THF	<1		
6	(R,R)- 5b	TFE	32	88(R)	
7	(R,R)- 5b	HFIP	65	94.1(R)	

 $^a\rm Using 0.7~mL/100~mg$ substrate of solvent. $^b\rm GC$ yield. $^c\rm Determined$ by HPLC analysis.

with a substrate/catalyst molar ratio (S/C) = 500 under H₂ (5.0 MPa) at 30 °C, although neither the RuCl complex (*R*,*R*)-4 nor the RuBF₄ complex (*R*,*R*)-**5b** promoted the hydrogenation of **6a** in MeOH, toluene, or THF, (*R*,*R*)-**5b** catalyzed the reaction in 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol (TFE) to give the 2methylindoline (**7a**) in 32% yield with 88% ee (entry 6). The use of 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexafluoroisopropanol (HFIP) as a fluorinated solvent further improved the catalytic performance of (*R*,*R*)-**5b** to give **7a** in 65% yield with a higher stereoselectivity of 94% ee (entry 7).

Synthesis of New Cationic η^6 -Arene/*N*-Me-sulfonyldiamine–Ru(II) Complexes and Its Application for Asymmetric Hydrogenation of 2-Methylindole. Ikariya and Wills reported that the catalytic activity of η^6 -arene/ sulfonyldiamine–Ru(II) complexes could be enhanced with the use of a secondary amino analogue.¹⁰ Based on a consideration of the ease of preparation and the practical utility of related cationic complexes, we newly prepared a series of N-methylated RuBF₄ complexes (8–10) (Figure 3 and Scheme 3).



New genenation

Figure 3. New cationic η^6 -arene/N-Me-sulfonyldiamine-Ru(II) complexes.

Scheme 3. Preparation of New Cationic η^6 -Arene/N-Mesulfonyldiamine-Ru(II) Complexes (R,R)-8^{*a*}



"Conditions: (i) K_2CO_3 , THF-H₂O, rt, 2 h; (ii) Vitride, toluene, reflux, 2 h, 64% yield (two steps); (iii) Et₃N, 2-propanol, 80 °C, 1 h, 95% yield; (iv) AgBF₄, MeOH-CH₂Cl₂, rt, 2 h, 99% yield.

N-Methylated TsDPEN [(N-(p-toluenesulfonyl)-1,2-diphenylethylenediamine)] ligand (14) was prepared by the treatment of (R,R)-TsDPEN (11) with methyl chloroformate (12) under Schotten-Baumann reaction conditions (i) and subsequent reduction using Vitride (ii) in 64% yield in two steps. Complexation of ligand 14 with [RuCl₂(p-cymene)]₂ (15) easily afforded the parent RuCl complex ((R,R)-8-Cl) (iii), and cationic RuBF₄ complex ((R,R)-8) was prepared by the anion exchange reaction using AgBF₄ in quantitative yield (iv).

The N-methylated RuBF₄ complexes (R,R)-8 and (S,S)-10 furnished the full conversion of **6a** to give (R)-7**a** with 95.6% ee and (S)-7**a** with 95.4% ee, respectively. For the (R,R)-8-catalyzed hydrogenation, the reaction carried out at 0 °C gave 7**a** in up to 96.4% ee, and the reaction could be conducted under a lower hydrogen pressure (3 or 1 MPa), which would be particularly important for industrial application. Finally, the catalyst loading could be successfully reduced to S/C = 1000 for full conversion while maintaining the enantiomeric excess of 7**a** (96.2% ee), though the reaction time was prolonged (Table 2, entry 10). When the amount of HFIP was reduced to 1 equiv of **6a**, 7**a** was obtained in 93% yield with 90% ee (entry 11).

Asymmetric Hydrogenation of Various Unprotected Indoles. With the ruthenium catalysts (R,R)-8, 9, and (S,S)-10, the generality of the asymmetric hydrogenation of indoles was examined, and the results with the use of appropriate catalysts for particular substrates are summarized in Table 3.

Under the optimized conditions, 2-alkylated indoles were smoothly hydrogenated to give the corresponding indolines in high conversion with high to excellent enantiomeric excesses (Table 4, entries 1 and 2). The cyclopropyl ring remained

Table 2. Catalyst Development for the Asymmetric Hydrogenation of 2-Methylindole^{α}

Catalyst (S/C = 500)							
N H 6a		H	FIP, 7 h	→ N H 7a			
entry	catalyst	H_2 (MPa)	temp (°C)	yield (%) ^b	ee (%) ^c		
1	(R,R)- 5b	5.0	30	65	94.1(R)		
2	(R,R)- 8	5.0	30	>99	95.6(R)		
3	(R,R)-9	5.0	30	92	91.7(R)		
4	(<i>S,S</i>)-10	5.0	30	>99	95.4(<i>S</i>)		
5	(R,R)- 8	5.0	20	>99	96.0(R)		
6	(R,R)-8	5.0	10	98	96.2(R)		
7	(R,R)- 8	5.0	0	96	96.4(R)		
8	(R,R)- 8	3.0	10	96	96.0(R)		
9	(R,R)- 8	1.0	10	96	95.9(R)		
10 ^d	(R,R)- 8	5.0	10	>99 ^e	96.2(R)		
11	(R,R)- 8	5.0	10	93	90.0(R)		

^{*a*}Using 0.7 mL/100 mg substrate of solvent. ^{*b*}GC yield. ^{*c*}Determined by HPLC analysis. ^{*d*}S/C = 1000, 30 h. ^{*c*}Isolated yield was 99%. ^{*f*}S/C = 100, HFIP (1.0 equiv of 6a), without the other solvent, 18 h.

intact in (R,R)-8 catalysis to give 7d with 83% ee. The (1Hindol-2-yl)methanol (6g) was also smoothly hydrogenated for the first time to directly access the chiral 2-hydroxymethtyl indoline (7g) with 73% ee. For methoxy ethyl-substituted 6e, (R,R)-8 gave 7e with 74% ee, though (S,S)-10 gave better results for 7e with 83% ee. 2-Phenylindole (6h) was slowly converted to 7h with moderate ee (entry 7). For 2methylindoles with substituents at the 5-position, typically, (S,S)-10 showed better results than (R,R)-8 (entries 8 and 9). Ring-fused substrates (6k-m) that were connected between the 2- and 3-positions of indole were sufficient for the ruthenium-catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation. The hydrogenation of five-membered ring-fused substrate (6k) was smoothly catalyzed by (R,R)-9 even with a catalyst loading of S/C = 2000 (entry 10). The eight-membered system to give 6m achieved >99% ee with (R,R)-8 (entry 12). Although only cis-isomers were obtained for these ring-fused substrates, when 2,3-dimethylindole was subjected to hydrogenation, the transisomer was detected (cis/trans = 92:8), and both isomers showed a very high enantiomeric excess (97% ee for cis and 99% ee for trans) (entry 13). Interestingly, a substrate bearing a phenolic hydroxyl group at the 4-position of 2-methylindole was reduced by (R,R)-8 with high yield and excellent ee (99%) ee) (entry 14).

Asymmetric Hydrogenation of Halogenated Indoles. The (S,S)-10-catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation is also useful for the reduction of indoles having electron-withdrawing substituents. The 5-fluoro-2-methylindole was successfully converted to the 5-fluoro-2-methylindoline with 94% ee. Furthermore, the reduction of chloro- or bromo-substituted indoles was fascinating since various late-transition-metal-mediated reduction caused dehalogenation as a side reaction. 5-Chloro- and 5-bromo-2-methylindole were converted to the corresponding indolines 7**q** and 7**s** in almost quantitative yields and with high enantioselectivities (95 and 96% ee, respectively), while retaining the halogen atoms. In these reactions, dehalogenated products were not observed, and the reaction could be carried out on a 3 g scale to give 7**q**.

Derivatization of (S)-5-Chloro-2-methylindoline. The synthetic utility of the chiral (S)-5-chloro-2-methylindoline



entry	substrate	catalyst	S/C	temp (°C)	time (h)	yield (%) ^b	ee (%) ^c
1	6b	(R,R)- 8	100	0	30	>99	97(R)
2	6c	(R,R)-8	250	10	27	96	97(R)
3	6d	(R,R)- 8	100	0	7	93	83(<i>S</i>)
4	6e	(<i>S,S</i>)-10	100	30	30	99	83(-)
5	6f	(R,R)- 8	100	10	25	84	86(+)
6	6g	(R,R)-8	100	10	30	98	73(S)
7	6h	(<i>S,S</i>)-10	100	60	20	38	42(+)
8	6i	(<i>S,S</i>)-10	500	10	7	>99	96(S)
9	6j	(<i>S,S</i>)-10	500	10	7	>99	95(+)
10 ^d	6k	(R,R)- 9	2000	0	30	97	91(R,R)
11 ^d	61	(R,R)-8	250	30	31	96	96(R,R)
12 ^d	6m	(R,R)- 8	100	30	27	59	>99(+)
13 ^e	6n	(R,R)- 8	100	10	23	92	97(R,R)
14	60	(R,R)-8	250	10	28	>99	99(+)

^{*a*}Using 0.7 mL/100 mg substrate of solvent. ^{*b*}Isolated yield. ^{*c*}Determined by GC or HPLC analysis. ^{*d*}Only *cis* form products (7k–7m) were obtained. ^{*e*}Major product was the *cis* isomer (7n) (84.0% de (*cis*), and the ee of the *trans* isomer was 99% ee).

(7q) is shown in Scheme 4. The coupling reactions of 7q with potassium vinyltrifluoroborate (15) and 3-formylphenylboronic acid (17) were catalyzed by a Pd-Cy-cBRIDP complex¹¹ to afford the corresponding coupling products 16 and 18 in high yields and without a loss of enantioselectivity. The successful introduction a hydrogenation-sensitive vinyl group or formyl group demonstrates the advantage of the current halogentolerant catalytic asymmetric reduction.

Asymmetric Hydrogenation of Indoles with Protecting Groups. Furthermore, the results using indoles with synthetically important protecting groups are fascinating, as shown in Table 5.

In weakly acidic HFIP reaction media (pH 4–5), the acidsensitive *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl (TBS) protecting group of a primary alcohol and ethylene acetal of an aliphatic aldehyde were tolerated in the (S,S)-10-catalyzed hydrogenation to give 7t in 94% yield with 92% ee and 7u in 90% yield with 90% ee, respectively. Both benzyl ethers of primary alcohol and phenolic alcohol survived in the (R,R)-8-catalyzed hydro-

Table 4. Asymmetric Hydrogenation of HalogenatedIndoles a

[&]quot;Using 0.7 mL/100 mg substrate of solvent. The results using (R,R)-8 catalyst are shown in Supporting Information (Table S2).

genation. Ethyl ester was also compatible with the hydrogenation to give 7x in 95% yield with 91% ee. Since the previously reported H₈-BINAP-Pd catalysis in CSA^{9a,b} did not give 7t or 7u at all, these results demonstrate the advantages of the current ruthenium catalysis directed toward the synthesis of further complex indoline-derived compounds (see details in the Supporting Information).

Derivatization of Chiral Indoline to Tetrahydro-1*H*pyrroloindole. A one-pot derivatization of acetal-protected chiral indoline (7**u**) to chiral tetrahydro-1*H*-pyrroindole (21) is shown in Scheme 5. After the deprotection of acetal 7**u** with trifluoroacetic acid, subsequent reduction of the intermediary tetrahydropyrroloindolium salt using sodium cyanoborohydride gave 21 in high yield and with almost no loss of enantioselectivity.

As shown in Figure 1, chiral tetrahydro-1*H*-pyrroloindole skeletons are found in some biologically active compounds, which have been prepared in multistep syntheses that include

Table 5. Asymmetric Hydrogenation of Indoles with Protecting Groups^a

^aUsing 0.7 mL/100 mg substrate of solvent.

optical resolution. This is the first and practical example of the catalytic asymmetric synthesis of tetrahydro-*1H*-pyrroloindole.

Reuse of HFIP Solvent for Asymmetric Hydrogenation. From the perspective of green chemistry and industrial production, the reuse of solvent is very important. Since the current reaction system of asymmetric hydrogenation does not require the use of cosolvents or additives, the solvent can be easily recovered by simple distillation after the reaction is complete. The HFIP solvent was recovered quantitatively after hydrogenation of 2-methylindole, and the recovered HFIP was reused in the next hydrogenation to give 2-methylindole without a loss of yield or enantioselectivity (Scheme 6).

Scheme 6. Reuse of the HFIP Solvent for Asymmetric Hydrogenation of 2-Methylindole

Mechanistic Study Using Isotopic Labeling Experiments. To elucidate the reaction mechanism, the negligible asymmetric transfer hydrogenation reaction in this HFIP solvent system was first confirmed (see details in the Supporting Information). When asymmetric hydrogenation was run in HFIP- d_2 and H_2 , ¹H NMR analysis showed that two deuterium atoms were introduced at the 3-position, and deuteration at the 2-position was not observed (eq 3, Scheme 7).

In contrast, when hydrogenation was performed with D_2 and HFIP, the incorporation of deuterium was observed only at the 2-position and the amine of the indoline (eq 4, Scheme 7). These experimental results prove that unprotected indoles are activated in the weakly acidic HFIP solvent to form an iminium intermediate, and the η^6 -arene/*N*-Me-sulfonyldiamine–Ru-(II)–BF₄ complexes hydrogenate the iminium intermediate quite effectively to provide asymmetric indoline synthesis. This highly efficient asymmetric hydrogenation is believed to occur through cooperation between ruthenium–hydride and amine–NH in the concerto catalysis.¹² The moderate isotropic labeling at the 2-position in eq 4 is discussed in the Supporting Information and was improved by carrying out the reaction using both D_2 and HFIP- d_2 (eq 5).

Proposed Transition State. In the reaction of ketonic or imine substrates with η^{6} -arene/sulfonyldiamine—Ru(II) complexes, a CH/ π interaction between a hydrogen atom on the η^{6} -arene and the aromatic ring of a substrate has been proposed.^{10c,d,13} Furthermore, hydrogen-bonding interaction between the substrates with NH proton of the ligand is believed to facilitate the enantioface selection.¹³ With these interactions, acetophenone is reduced to (*R*)-2-phenylethanol by the (*R*,*R*)-Ru catalyst. Because *R*-enriched indoline was obtained using (*R*,*R*)-8, the reaction would proceed via a similar transition state (Figure 4). For the reduction of an iminium intermediate, the cationic intermediate would seem to have a difficult time receiving the assistance of hydrogen bonding. However, by including the π -electron of the C=N double bond of the

Journal of the American Chemical Society

Figure 4. Proposed transition state.

iminium intermediate, the hydrogen-bonding network is workable for constructing the six-membered transition state.^{10d} If the proton is dissociated from the iminium intermediate, the hydrogen-bonding interaction using imine would strongly stabilize the six-membered transition state. (See further discussion about the other possible transition states in the Supporting Information (Figure S4).)

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, various unprotected indole compounds were efficiently hydrogenated by η^6 -arene/N-Me-sulfonyldiamine-Ru(II)-BF₄ catalysts under mildly acidic HFIP, which showed advantages for the synthesis of further complex molecules. The 5-halo-2-methylindoles were converted to the corresponding indolines and retained the halogen atoms. From the 5-halo-2-methylindoles, cross-coupling reactions were accomplished. Some acid-sensitive protective groups were also tolerant under the mild η^6 -arene/N-Me-sulfonyldiamine-Ru(II)-BF₄ catalyses. With these fascinating and powerful hydrogenations, further applications toward the synthesis of advanced indoline molecules are now being examined by our group.

EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

General Procedure for Asymmetric Hydrogenation of Indoles Using η^6 -Arene/*N*-Me-sulfonyldiamine–Ru(II) Complexes under the Conditions of S/C = 500, 10 °C for 7 h. Indole (1.5 mmol) and Ru catalyst (0.003 mmol) were placed in a 100 mL stainless steel autoclave equipped with a glass inner tube. The atmosphere was replaced with argon gas, and solvent (1.4 mL) was added to this mixture. Hydrogen was initially introduced into the autoclave at a pressure of 1.0 MPa, before being reduced to 0.1 MPa. This procedure was repeated three times. Then the autoclave was pressurized with H₂ gas (5.0 MPa), and the solution was stirred vigorously at 10 °C for 7 h. The product was obtained by silica gel chromatography. Optical purities of the products were determined by chiral GC or HPLC analysis.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.6b06295.

Methods for preparing Ru complexes and indoles, experimental procedures for asymmetric hydrogenation, NMR, MS, chiral GC and chiral HPLC data, $[\alpha]_D$ values of products (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*taichiro_touge@takasago.com *tarai@faculty.chiba-u.jp

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Yoshihiro Yaguchi, Akihiro Kawaraya, Kazuhiko Sakaguchi, Jun Kurabe, Hiroaki Izumi, Kenichi Tomiyama, Hisae Kasuga, Kyoko Zaizen, Chikako Kawata, and Noriko Yamamoto at Takasago International Corporation for the measurement of NMR and mass spectra and their assistance with the experiments. We also thank Prof. Takeshi Nakai and Prof. Masahiro Terada for helpful discussions. This research is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP16H01004 in Precisely Designed Catalysts with Customized Scaffolding.

REFERENCES

(1) For some reviews, see: (a) Southon, I. W.; Buckingham, J. In Dictionary of Alkaloids; Chapman and Hall: New York, 1989. (b) Neuss, N.; Neuss, M. N. In The Alkaloids; Brossi, A., Suffness, M., Eds.; Academic Press: San Diego, CA, 1990; p 229. (c) Gueritte, F.; Fahy, J. In Anticancer Agents from Natural Products; Cragg, G. M., Kingstom, D. G. I., Newman, D. J., Eds.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 2005; p 123. (d) Modern Alkaloids; Fattorusso, E., Taglialatela-Scafati, O., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2008 and references therein. (e) Dewick, P. M. In Medicinal Natural Products: A Biosynthetic Approach, 3rd ed.; John Wiley & Sons Ltd.: New York, 2009; Chapter 6, p 311. (f) Marquis, K. L. S.; Logue, S. F.; Brennan, J. A.; Piesla, M. J.; Comery, T. A.; Grauer, S. M.; Ashby, C. R., Jr; Nguyen, H. Q.; Dawson, L. A.; Barrett, J. E.; Stack, G.; Melzer, H. Y.; Harrison, B. L.; Rosenzweig-Lipson, S. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 2007, 320, 486. (g) Samwel, S.; Odalo, J. O.; Nkunya, M. H. H.; Joseph, C. C.; Koorbanally, N. A. Phytochemistry 2011, 72, 1826. (h) Certal, V.; Carry, J.-C.; Halley, F.; Virone-Oddos, A.; Thompson, F.; Filoche-Romme, B.; El-Ahmad, Y.; Karlsson, A.; Charrier, V.; Delorme, C.; Rak, A.; Abecassis, P.-Y.; Amara, C.; Vincent, L.; Bonnevaux, H.; Nicolas, J.-P.; Mathieu, M.; Bertrand, T.; Marquette, J.-P.; Michot, N.; Benard, T.; Perrin, M.-A.; Lemaitre, O.; Guerif, S.; Perron, S.; Monget, S.; Gruss-Leleu, F.; Doerflinger, G.; Guizani, H.; Brollo, M.; Delbarre, L.; Bertin, L.; Richepin, P.; Loyau, V.; Garcia-Echeverria, C.; Lengauer, C.; Schio, L. J. Med. Chem. 2014, 57, 903. (i) Carry, J.-C.; Cetral, V.; Halley, F.; Karlsson, K. A.; Schio, L.; Thompson, F. Patent Appl. WO 2011001114, 2011. (j) Jones, R. M.; Han, S.; Moody, J. V. Patent Appl. WO 2009151626, 2009. (k) Cramp, S. M.; Dyke, H. J.; Thomas, D.; Zahler, R. Patent Appl. WO 2012154678, 2012.

(2) (a) Arp, F. O.; Fu, G. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 14264.
(b) Hou, X. L.; Zheng, B. H. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 1789. (c) Gotor-Fernandez, V.; Fernandez-Torres, P.; Gotor, V. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2006, 17, 2558. (d) Xiao, Y.-C.; Wang, C.; Yao, Y.; Sun, J.; Chen, Y.-C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 10661. (e) Saito, K.; Shibata, Y.; Yamanaka, M.; Akiyama, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 11740. (f) Awata, A.; Arai, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 10462. (3) For some reviews, see: (a) Glorius, F. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2005, 3, 4171. (b) Zhou, Y.-G. Acc. Chem. Res. 2007, 40, 1357. (c) Kuwano, R. Heterocycles 2008, 76, 909.

(4) (a) Fujii, A.; Hashiguchi, S.; Uematsu, N.; Ikariya, T.; Noyori, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1996**, 118, 2521. (b) Uematsu, N.; Fujii, A.; Hashiguchi, S.; Ikariya, T.; Noyori, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1996**, 118, 4916. (c) Haack, K.-J.; Hashiguchi, S.; Fujii, A.; Ikariya, T.; Noyori, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. **1997**, 36, 285. (d) Hashiguchi, S.; Fujii, A.; Haack, K.-J.; Matsumura, K.; Ikariya, T.; Noyori, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. **1997**, 36, 288. (e) Noyori, R.; Hashiguchi, S. Acc. Chem. Res. **1997**, 30, 97. (f) Touge, T.; Hakamata, T.; Nara, H.; Kobayashi, T.; Sayo, N.; Saito, T.; Kayaki, Y.; Ikariya, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2011**, 133, 14960.

(5) (a) Ohkuma, T.; Utsumi, N.; Tsutsumi, K.; Murata, K.; Sandoval, C.; Noyori, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 8724. (b) Ohkuma, T.; Tsutsumi, K.; Utsumi, N.; Arai, N.; Noyori, R.; Murata, K. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 255. (c) Ohkuma, T.; Utsumi, N.; Watanabe, M.; Tsutsumi, K.; Arai, N.; Murata, K. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 2565. (d) Arai, N.; Satoh, H.; Utsumi, N.; Murata, K.; Tsutsumi, K.; Ohkuma, T. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 3030.

Journal of the American Chemical Society

(6) (a) Abdur-Rashic, K.; Amoroso, D.; Sui-Seng, C.; Jia, W.; Ewart, C. Patent Appl. WO2009132443 A1, 2009. For the other examples of cationic η^6 -arene/sulfonyldiamine-Ru(II) complexes catalyzed reaction, see: (b) Zhou, H.; Li, Z.; Wang, Z.; Wang, T.; Xu, L.; He, Y.; Fan, Q.-H.; Pan, J.; Gu, L.; Chan, A. S.C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 8464. (c) Li, Z.-W.; Wang, T.-L.; He, Y.-M.; Wang, Z.-J.; Fan, Q.-H.; Pan, J.; Xu, L.-J. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 5265. (d) Li, C.; Xiao, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 13208. (e) Li, C.; Wang, C.; Villa-Marcos, B.; Xiao, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 14450. (f) Letko, C. S.; Heiden, Z. M.; Rauchfuss, T. B. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2009, 2009, 4927. (g) Li, C.; Villa-Marcos, B.; Xiao, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 6967. (h) Parekh, V.; Ramsden, J. A.; Wills, M. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2010, 21, 1549. (i) Wang, T.; Zhuo, L.-G.; Li, Z.; Chen, F.; Ding, Z.; He, Y.; Fan, Q.-H; Xiang, J.; Yu, Z.-X.; Chan, A. S. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 9878. (j) Chen, F.; Wang, T.; He, Y.; Ding, Z.; Li, Z.; Xu, L.; Fan, Q.-H. Chem. - Eur. J. 2011, 17, 1109. (k) Chen, F.; Ding, Z.; Qin, J.; Wang, T.; He, Y.; Fan, Q.-H. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 4348. (1) Wang, D.-S.; Chen, Q.-A.; Lu, S.-M.; Zhou, Y.-G. Chem. Rev. 2012, 112, 2557. (m) Wu, Z.; Perez, M.; Scalone, M.; Ayad, T.; Ratovelomanana-Vidal, V. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 4925. (n) Bartoszewicz, A.; Ahlsten, N.; Martin-Matute, B. Chem. - Eur. J. 2013, 19, 7274. (o) Ma, W.; Chen, F.; Liu, Y.; He, Y.-M.; Fan, Q.-H. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 2730.

 $(\tilde{7})$ Our unpublished results.

(8) (a) Kuwano, R.; Sato, K.; Kurokawa, T.; Karube, D.; Ito, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 7614. (b) Kuwano, R.; Kaneda, K.; Ito, T.; Sato, K.; Kurokawa, T.; Ito, Y. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 2213. (c) Kuwano, R.; Kashiwabara, M. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 2653. (d) Kuwano, R.; Kashiwabara, M.; Sato, K.; Ito, T.; Kaneda, K.; Ito, Y. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2006, 17, 521. (e) Mršić, N.; Jerphagnon, T.; Minnaard, A. J.; Feringa, B. L.; de Vries, J. G. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2010, 21, 7. (f) Baeza, A.; Pfaltz, A. Chem. - Eur. J. 2010, 16, 2036.

(9) (a) Wang, D. – S.; Chen, Q.-A.; Li, W.; Yu, C.-B.; Zhou, Y.-G.; Zhang, X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2010**, 132, 8909. (b) Duan, Y.; Li, L.; Chen, M.-W.; Yu, C.-B.; Fan, H.-J.; Zhou, Y.-G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2014**, 136, 7688. (c) Núñez-Rico, J. L.; Fernández-Perez, H.; Vidal-Ferran, A. Green Chem. **2014**, 16, 1153. (d) Lyubimov, S. E.; Ozolin, D. V.; Davankov, V. A. Tetrahedron Lett. **2014**, 55, 3613.

(10) (a) Koike, T.; Ikariya, T. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2004, 346, 37.
(b) Koike, T.; Ikariya, T. J. Organomet. Chem. 2007, 692, 408.
(c) Cheung, F. K.; Hayes, A. M.; Morris, D. J.; Wills, M. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2007, 5, 1093. (d) Martins, J. E. D.; Clarkson, G. J.; Wills, M. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 847. (e) Martins, J. E. D.; Contreras Redondo, M. A.; Wills, M. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2010, 21, 2258. (f) Soni, R.; Cheung, F. K.; Clarkson, G. C.; Martins, J. E. D.; Graham, M. A.; Wills, M. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2011, 9, 3290.

(11) (a) Suzuki, K.; Hori, Y.; Nishikawa, T.; Kobayashi, T. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2007, 349, 2089. (b) Suzuki, K.; Hori, Y.; Kobayashi, T. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2008, 350, 652. (c) Suzuki, K.; Sawaki, T.; Hori, Y.; Kobayashi, T. Synlett 2008, 2008, 1809.

(12) Examples for concerto asymmetric catalysis: (a) Gao, J.-X.;
Ikariya, T.; Noyori, R. Organometallics 1996, 15, 1087. (b) Jiang, Y.;
Jiang, Q.; Zhang, X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 3817. (c) Ikariya, T.;
Murata, K.; Noyori, R. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2006, 4, 393. (d) Zeng, W.;
Chen, G.-Y.; Zhou, Y.-G.; Li, Y.-X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 750.
(e) Ikariya, T.; Gridnev, I. D. Chem. Rec. 2009, 9, 106. (f) Antonchick,
P. A.; Gerding-Reimers, C.; Catarinella, M.; Schürmann, M.; Preut, H.;
Ziegler, S.; Rauh, D.; Waldmann, H. Nat. Chem. 2010, 2, 735.
(g) Wang, M.; Wang, C.-J.; Lin, Z. Organometallics 2012, 31, 7870.
(h) Arai, T.; Ogawa, H.; Awata, A.; Sato, M.; Watabe, M.; Yamanaka,
M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 1595.

(13) (a) Yamakawa, M.; Ito, H.; Noyori, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 1466. (b) Yamakawa, M.; Yamada, I.; Noyori, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 2818. (c) Noyori, R.; Yamakawa, M.; Hashiguchi, S. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 7931. (d) Cheung, F. K.; Lin, C.; Minissi, F.; Crivillé, A. L.; Graham, M. A.; Fox, D. J.; Wills, M. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 4659. (e) Dub, P. A.; Ikariya, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 2604. (f) Matsuoka, A.; Sandoval, C. A.; Uchiyama, M.; Noyori, R.; Naka, H. Chem. - Asian J. 2015, 10, 112.